### 1. Text Complexity

The PARCC complexity framework reflects the importance of text complexity as it relates to the CCSS, which indicates that 50 percent of an item’s complexity is linked to the complexity of the text(s) used as the stimulus for that item. Consequently, to determine students’ performance levels, it is critical to identify the pattern of responses when students respond to items linked to passages with distinct text complexities. To this end, PARCC has developed a clear and consistent model to define text complexity and has determined to use three text complexity levels: readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex. For more information on text complexity, refer to the CCSS Appendix A ([http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy](http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy)) and Appendix B ([http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy](http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy)).

PARCC uses two components for determining text complexity for all passages:

a. Two quantitative text complexity measures (Reading Maturity Metric and Lexile) will be used to analyze all reading passages to determine an initial recommendation for placement of a text into a grade band and subsequently a grade level.

b. Text Analysis Worksheets ([http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)), one for informational text and one for literary text, are then used to determine qualitative measures. Trained evaluators use these worksheets to determine a recommendation for qualitative text complexity within the grade level, with each text defined as readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

For multimedia texts, qualitative judgments from one or both of the “optional” categories in the Complexity Analysis Worksheet will be combined with judgments in the other categories to make a holistic determination of the complexity of the material.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Level</th>
<th>Level of Text Complexity</th>
<th>Range of Accuracy</th>
<th>Quality of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Mostly Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Mostly Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Generally Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Generally Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Mostly Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Minimally Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Generally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Mostly Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Minimally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Partially accurate</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Range of Accuracy

There are three types of items on the PARCC summative assessments. For Evidence-Based Selected Response (EBSR) and Technology-Enhanced Constructed Response (TECR) items, the design is such that the items help contribute to an understanding of how accurately students comprehend text (demonstrate mastery of CCSS Reading Standards 2-10). Some of these items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the range of accuracy. For Prose-Constructed Response (PCR) items, PARCC has developed draft scoring rubrics (http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents) that include a Reading dimension to measure comprehension. Scores on the PCR items contribute to an evaluation of the degree to which a student can accurately comprehend a text.

The PARCC assessment Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe five levels of accuracy at grades 3-8 that are determined using the reading data collected through EBSR, TECR, and PCR items:

**Accurate** – The student is able to accurately state both the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details. The response is complete, and the student demonstrates full understanding.

**Mostly accurate** – The student is able to accurately state most of the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details, but the response is incomplete or contains minor inaccuracies. The student demonstrates understanding.

**Generally accurate** – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but fails to accurately state the key and supporting details in the text or to connect such details to the overarching meaning of the text(s). The student demonstrates basic understanding.

**Partially accurate** – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is unable to state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student is partially able to connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates partial understanding.

**Minimally accurate** – The student is unable to accurately state the gist of the text or the key and supporting details evident in the text. The student does not connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates minimal understanding.

**Inaccurate** – The student is unable to accurately state either the gist of the text or the key and supporting details evident in the text. The student demonstrates limited understanding.

3. Quality of Evidence

All items are designed to contribute to an understanding of how students “read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences from it” and “cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text” (CCSS Anchor Reading Standard 1). Some items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the quality of evidence provided. Students support their comprehension with explicit and/or inferential evidence:

**Explicit evidence** – Students show how the explicit words and phrases (details) from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.

**Inferential evidence** – Students show how inferences drawn from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.
### Reading Sub-Claims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 5 exceeds expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 4 meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 3 approaches expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 2 partially meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reading Literature

Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex literary text.

#### EVIDENCES:

- Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

See Literary Evidence Table


### Reading Information

Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex informational text.

#### EVIDENCES:

See Informational Evidence Table


### Vocabulary Interpretation and Use

Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.

See Vocabulary Evidence Table


---

In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:

- With **very complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **mostly accurate** when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- With **moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **mostly accurate** when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- With **readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **accurate** when asking and/or answering questions, showing full understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.

In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:

- With **very complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **generally accurate** when asking and/or answering questions, showing **general understanding** of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- With **moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **generally accurate** when asking and/or answering questions, showing **general understanding** of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- With **readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **accurate** when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.

In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:

- With **very complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **minimally accurate** when asking and/or answering questions, showing **minimal understanding** of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- With **moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **generally accurate** when asking and/or answering questions, showing **basic understanding** of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- With **readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **mostly accurate** when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.

In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:

- With **very complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **minimally accurate** when asking and/or answering questions, showing **minimal understanding** of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- With **moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **minimally accurate** when asking and/or answering questions, showing **minimal understanding** of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- With **readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **partially accurate** when asking and/or answering questions, showing **partial understanding** of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
Writing Sub-Claim for Written Expression: Students produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.

EVIDENCES: Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A student who achieves at Level 5 exceeds expectations for the assessed standards.</strong></td>
<td><strong>A student who achieves at Level 4 meets expectations for the assessed standards.</strong></td>
<td><strong>A student who achieves at Level 3 approaches expectations for the assessed standards.</strong></td>
<td><strong>A student who achieves at Level 2 partially meets expectations for the assessed standards.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In writing,** students address the prompts and provide effective development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, in the majority of instances demonstrating purposeful and controlled organization.

The student:
- Provides effective development of the topic and/or narrative elements, using reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops topic and/or narrative elements in a manner that is appropriate to the task and purpose.
- Demonstrates purposeful organization that includes an introduction and/or conclusion.
- Effectively uses linking words and phrases, descriptive words, and/or temporal words to express ideas with clarity.

**In writing,** students address the prompts and provide basic development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while in the majority of instances demonstrating purposeful and mostly controlled organization.

The student:
- Develops the topic and/or narrative elements using reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops topic and/or narrative elements in a manner that is mostly appropriate to the task and purpose.
- Demonstrates purposeful organization that is mostly controlled and may include an introduction and/or conclusion.
- Uses linking words and phrases, descriptive words, and/or temporal words to express ideas with clarity.

**In writing,** students address the prompts and provide basic development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while in the majority of instances demonstrating organization that sometimes is controlled.

The student:
- Develops the topic and/or narrative elements using some reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Demonstrates some organization.
- Includes some linking words and phrases, descriptive words, and/or temporal words, limiting the clarity with which ideas are expressed.

**In writing,** students address the prompts and provide minimal development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while in the majority of instances demonstrating organization that often is not controlled.

The student:
- Provides minimal development of the topic and/or narrative elements and is, therefore, inappropriate to the task and purpose.
- Demonstrates minimal organization.
- Includes minimal linking words and phrases, descriptive words, and/or temporal words, limiting the clarity with which ideas are expressed.

See Writing Evidence Table [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)
### Writing Sub-Claim for Knowledge of Language and Conventions:
Students demonstrate knowledge of conventions and other important elements of language.

**EVIDENCES:** Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

See Writing Evidence Table [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In writing,</strong> students demonstrate full command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There <strong>may be some errors</strong> in grammar and usage, but overall meaning is clear.</td>
<td><strong>In writing,</strong> students demonstrate command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are <strong>errors</strong> in grammar and usage that may occasionally <strong>impede</strong> understanding.</td>
<td><strong>In writing,</strong> students demonstrate <strong>basic</strong> command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are <strong>few patterns of errors</strong> in grammar and usage that <strong>impede</strong> understanding, demonstrating <strong>partial</strong> control over language.</td>
<td><strong>In writing,</strong> students demonstrate <strong>minimal</strong> command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are <strong>patterns of errors</strong> in grammar and usage that <strong>impede</strong> understanding, demonstrating <strong>minimal</strong> control over language.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Text Complexity

The PARCC complexity framework reflects the importance of text complexity as it relates to the CCSS, which indicates that 50 percent of an item's complexity is linked to the complexity of the text(s) used as the stimulus for that item. Consequently, to determine students' performance levels, it is critical to identify the pattern of responses when students respond to items linked to passages with distinct text complexities. To this end, PARCC has developed a clear and consistent model to define text complexity and has determined to use three text complexity levels: readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex. For more information on text complexity, refer to the CCSS Appendix A (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy) and Appendix B (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy).

PARCC uses two components for determining text complexity for all passages:

a. Two quantitative text complexity measures (Reading Maturity Metric and Lexile) will be used to analyze all reading passages to determine an initial recommendation for placement of a text into a grade band and subsequently a grade level.

b. Text Analysis Worksheets (http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents), one for informational text and one for literary text, are then used to determine qualitative measures. Trained evaluators use these worksheets to determine a recommendation for qualitative text complexity within the grade level, with each text defined as readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

For multimedia texts, qualitative judgments from one or both of the “optional” categories in the Complexity Analysis Worksheet will be combined with judgments in the other categories to make a holistic determination of the complexity of the material.
2. Range of Accuracy

There are three types of items on the PARCC summative assessments. For Evidence-Based Selected Response (EBSR) and Technology-Enhanced Constructed Response (TECR) items, the design is such that the items help contribute to an understanding of how accurately students comprehend text (demonstrate mastery of CCSS Reading Standards 2-10). Some of these items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the range of accuracy. For Prose-Constructed Response (PCR) items, PARCC has developed draft scoring rubrics (http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents) that include a Reading dimension to measure comprehension. Scores on the PCR items contribute to an evaluation of the degree to which a student can accurately comprehend a text.

The PARCC assessment Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe five levels of accuracy at grades 3-8 that are determined using the reading data collected through EBSR, TECR, and PCR items:

**Accurate** – The student is able to accurately state both the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details. The response is complete, and the student demonstrates full understanding.

**Mostly accurate** – The student is able to accurately state most of the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details, but the response is incomplete or contains minor inaccuracies. The student demonstrates understanding.

**Generally accurate** – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but fails to accurately state the key and supporting details in the text or to connect such details to the overarching meaning of the text(s). The student demonstrates basic understanding.

**Partially accurate** – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is unable to state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student is partially able to connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates partial understanding.

**Minimally accurate** – The student is unable to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is able to minimally state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student does not connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates minimal understanding.

**Inaccurate** – The student is unable to accurately state either the gist of the text or the key and supporting details evident in the text. The student demonstrates limited understanding.

3. Quality of Evidence

All items are designed to contribute to an understanding of how students “read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences from it” and “cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text” (CCSS Anchor Reading Standard 1). Some items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the quality of evidence provided. Students support their comprehension with explicit and/or inferential evidence:

**Explicit evidence** – Students show how the explicit words and phrases (details) from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.

**Inferential evidence** – Students show how inferences drawn from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reading Sub-Claims</th>
<th>Reading Literature</th>
<th>Reading Information</th>
<th>Vocabulary Interpretation and Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex literary text.</td>
<td>Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex informational text.</td>
<td>Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EVIDENCES:** Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

**See Literary Evidence Table** [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)

**See Informational Evidence Table** [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)

**See Vocabulary Evidence Table** [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- With **very complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be mostly accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text.
- With **moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be mostly accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text.
- With **readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to be accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing full understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text.

**In reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- With **very complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be generally accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing general understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text.
- With **moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be generally accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing general understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text.
- With **readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to be generally accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text.

**In reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- With **very complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to ask and/or answer questions with minimal accuracy, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- With **moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be generally accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing basic understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- With **readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to be mostly accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text.

**In reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- With **very complex text**, students demonstrate the inability to be accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing limited understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- With **moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to ask and/or answer questions with minimal accuracy, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- With **readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to be partially accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing partial understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text.
Grade 4 PARCC English Language Arts/Literacy Performance Level Descriptors

Writing Sub-Claim for Written Expression: Students produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.

**EVIDENCES:** Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

See Writing Evidence Table [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In writing, students address the prompts and provide effective development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, in the majority of instances demonstrating purposeful and controlled organization.

The student:
- Provides effective development of the topic and/or narrative elements, using reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops topic and/or narrative elements in a manner that is appropriate to the task and purpose.
- Demonstrates purposeful organization that includes an introduction and/or conclusion.
- Correctly uses linking words and phrases, descriptive words, and/or temporal words to express ideas with clarity.

In writing, students address the prompts and provide development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while in the majority of instances demonstrating purposeful and mostly controlled organization.

The student:
- Develops the topic and/or narrative elements using reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops topic and/or narrative elements in a manner that is mostly appropriate to the task and purpose.
- Demonstrates purposeful organization that is mostly controlled and may include an introduction and/or conclusion.
- Uses linking words and phrases, descriptive words, and/or temporal words to express ideas with clarity.

In writing, students address the prompts and provide basic development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while in the majority of instances demonstrating organization that sometimes is controlled.

The student:
- Develops topic and/or narrative elements in manner that is general in its appropriateness to the task and purpose.
- Demonstrates some organization.
- Includes some linking words and phrases, descriptive words, and/or temporal words, limiting the clarity with which ideas are expressed.

In writing, students address the prompts and provide minimal development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while in the majority of instances demonstrating organization that often is not controlled.

The student:
- Provides minimal development of the topic and/or narrative elements and is, therefore, inappropriate to the task and purpose.
- Demonstrates minimal organization.
- Includes minimal linking words and phrases, descriptive words, and/or temporal words, limiting the clarity with which ideas are expressed.
**Writing Sub-Claim for Knowledge of Language and Conventions:** Students demonstrate knowledge of conventions and other important elements of language.

**EVIDENCES:** Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

See Writing Evidence Table [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In <strong>writing,</strong> students demonstrate full command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There may be some errors in grammar and usage, but overall meaning is clear.</td>
<td>In <strong>writing,</strong> students demonstrate command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are errors in grammar and usage that may occasionally impede understanding.</td>
<td>In <strong>writing,</strong> students demonstrate basic command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are few patterns of errors in grammar and usage that impede understanding, demonstrating partial control over language.</td>
<td>In <strong>writing,</strong> students demonstrate minimal command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are patterns of errors in grammar and usage that impede understanding, demonstrating minimal control over language.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Text Complexity

The PARCC complexity framework reflects the importance of text complexity as it relates to the CCSS, which indicates that 50 percent of an item’s complexity is linked to the complexity of the text(s) used as the stimulus for that item. Consequently, to determine students’ performance levels, it is critical to identify the pattern of responses when students respond to items linked to passages with distinct text complexities. To this end, PARCC has developed a clear and consistent model to define text complexity and has determined to use three text complexity levels: readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex. For more information on text complexity, refer to the CCSS Appendix A (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy) and Appendix B (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy).

PARCC uses two components for determining text complexity for all passages:

a. Two quantitative text complexity measures (Reading Maturity Metric and Lexile) will be used to analyze all reading passages to determine an initial recommendation for placement of a text into a grade band and subsequently a grade level.

b. Text Analysis Worksheets (http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents), one for informational text and one for literary text, are then used to determine qualitative measures. Trained evaluators use these worksheets to determine a recommendation for qualitative text complexity within the grade level, with each text defined as readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

For multimedia texts, qualitative judgments from one or both of the “optional” categories in the Complexity Analysis Worksheet will be combined with judgments in the other categories to make a holistic determination of the complexity of the material.
2. Range of Accuracy

There are three types of items on the PARCC summative assessments. For Evidence-Based Selected Response (EBSR) and Technology-Enhanced Constructed Response (TECR) items, the design is such that the items help contribute to an understanding of how accurately students comprehend text (demonstrate mastery of CCSS Reading Standards 2-10). Some of these items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the range of accuracy. For Prose-Constructed Response (PCR) items, PARCC has developed draft scoring rubrics (http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents) that include a Reading dimension to measure comprehension. Scores on the PCR items contribute to an evaluation of the degree to which a student can accurately comprehend a text.

The PARCC assessment Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe five levels of accuracy at grades 3-8 that are determined using the reading data collected through EBSR, TECR, and PCR items:

**Accurate** – The student is able to accurately state both the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details. The response is complete, and the student demonstrates full understanding.

**Mostly accurate** – The student is able to accurately state most of the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details, but the response is incomplete or contains minor inaccuracies. The student demonstrates understanding.

**Generally accurate** – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but fails to accurately state the key and supporting details in the text or to connect such details to the overarching meaning of the text(s). The student demonstrates basic understanding.

**Partially accurate** – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is unable to state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student is partially able to connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates partial understanding.

**Minimally accurate** – The student is unable to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is able to minimally state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student does not connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates minimal understanding.

**Inaccurate** – The student is unable to accurately state either the gist of the text or the key and supporting details evident in the text. The student demonstrates limited understanding.

3. Quality of Evidence

All items are designed to contribute to an understanding of how students “read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences from it” and “cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text” (CCSS Anchor Reading Standard 1). Some items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the quality of evidence provided. Students support their comprehension with explicit and/or inferential evidence:

**Explicit evidence** – Students show how the explicit words and phrases (details) from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.

**Inferential evidence** – Students show how inferences drawn from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.
### Reading Sub-Claims

**Reading Literature**

Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex literary text.

**Reading Information**

Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex informational text.

**Vocabulary Interpretation and Use**

Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.

#### EVIDENCES: Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence</th>
<th>Reading Literature</th>
<th>Reading Information</th>
<th>Vocabulary Interpretation and Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>See Literary Evidence Table</td>
<td>See Informational Evidence Table</td>
<td>See Vocabulary Evidence Table</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level 5

A student who achieves at **Level 5 exceeds expectations** for the assessed standards.

### Level 4

A student who achieves at **Level 4 meets expectations** for the assessed standards.

### Level 3

A student who achieves at **Level 3 approaches expectations** for the assessed standards.

### Level 2

A student who achieves at **Level 2 partially meets expectations** for the assessed standards.

#### In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:

- **With very complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **mostly accurate** when quoting or referencing, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text.
- **With moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **generally accurate** when quoting or referencing, showing general understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text.
- **With readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **accurate** when quoting or referencing, showing full understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text.

#### In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:

- **With very complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **generally accurate** when quoting or referencing, showing general understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text.
- **With moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **generally accurate** when quoting or referencing, showing general understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text.
- **With readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **mostly accurate** when quoting or referencing, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text.

#### In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:

- **With very complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **minimally accurate** when quoting or referencing, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- **With moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **minimally accurate** when quoting or referencing, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- **With readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **minimally accurate** when quoting or referencing, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.

#### In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:

- **With very complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **minimally accurate** when quoting or referencing, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- **With moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **minimally accurate** when quoting or referencing, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- **With readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to be **minimally accurate** when quoting or referencing, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
### Writing Sub-Claim for Written Expression:

Students produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.

### EVIDENCES:

Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

See Writing Evidence Table  

#### Level 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In <strong>writing</strong>, students address the prompts and provide effective development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while in the majority of instances demonstrating purposeful and controlled organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The student:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Provides effective development of the topic and/or narrative elements, using reasoning, details, and/or description.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Develops topic and/or narrative elements in a manner that is appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Demonstrates coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction and/or conclusion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Attends to the norms and conventions of the discipline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Effectively draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Effectively uses concrete words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, and/or domain-specific vocabulary to clarify ideas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Level 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In <strong>writing</strong>, students address the prompts and provide development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while in the majority of instances demonstrating purposeful and mostly controlled organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The student:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Develops the topic and/or narrative elements using reasoning, details, and/or description.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Develops topic and/or narrative elements in a manner that is mostly appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Demonstrates general coherence, clarity, and cohesion and may or may not include an introduction and/or conclusion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Demonstrates general awareness of the norms and conventions of the discipline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Uses concrete words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, and/or domain-specific vocabulary to clarify ideas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Level 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In <strong>writing</strong>, students address the prompts and provide basic development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while in the majority of instances demonstrating organization that sometimes is controlled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The student:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Develops the topic and/or narrative elements minimally by using some reasoning, details, and/or description.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Develops topic and/or narrative elements in a manner that is general in its appropriateness to the task, purpose, and audience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Demonstrates some coherence, clarity, and cohesion, omitting the introduction or conclusion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Demonstrates some awareness of the norms of the discipline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Draws partial evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Includes some descriptions, sensory details, linking and transitional words, or domain-specific vocabulary, limiting the overall clarity with which ideas are expressed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Level 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In <strong>writing</strong>, students address the prompts and provide minimal development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while in the majority of instances demonstrating organization that often is not controlled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The student:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Provides minimal development of the topic and/or narrative elements and is, therefore, inappropriate to the task and purpose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Demonstrates minimal coherence, clarity, and cohesion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Demonstrates minimal awareness of the norms of the discipline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Draws minimal evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Includes minimal descriptions, sensory details, linking and transitional words, or domain-specific vocabulary to clarify ideas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Writing Sub-Claim for Knowledge of Language and Conventions: Students demonstrate knowledge of conventions and other important elements of language.

EVIDENCES: Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In **writing**, students demonstrate **full** command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There **may be some errors** in grammar and usage, but overall meaning is clear.

In **writing**, students demonstrate **command** of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are **errors** in grammar and usage that **may occasionally impede understanding**.

In **writing**, students demonstrate **basic** command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are **few patterns of errors** in grammar and usage that **impede understanding**, demonstrating **partial control over language**.

In **writing**, students demonstrate **minimal** command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are **patterns of errors** in grammar and usage that **impede understanding**, demonstrating **minimal control over language**.

See Writing Evidence Table [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)
Grade 6 PARCC English Language Arts/Literacy Performance Level Descriptors

### 1. Text Complexity

The PARCC complexity framework reflects the importance of text complexity as it relates to the CCSS, which indicates that 50 percent of an item’s complexity is linked to the complexity of the text(s) used as the stimulus for that item. Consequently, to determine students’ performance levels, it is critical to identify the pattern of responses when students respond to items linked to passages with distinct text complexities. To this end, PARCC has developed a clear and consistent model to define text complexity and has determined to use three text complexity levels: readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex. For more information on text complexity, refer to the CCSS Appendix A [http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy] and Appendix B [http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy].

PARCC uses two components for determining text complexity for all passages:

- a. Two quantitative text complexity measures (Reading Maturity Metric and Lexile) will be used to analyze all reading passages to determine an initial recommendation for placement of a text into a grade band and subsequently a grade level.

- b. Text Analysis Worksheets [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents], one for informational text and one for literary text, are then used to determine qualitative measures. Trained evaluators use these worksheets to determine a recommendation for qualitative text complexity within the grade level, with each text defined as readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

For multimedia texts, qualitative judgments from one or both of the “optional” categories in the Complexity Analysis Worksheet will be combined with judgments in the other categories to make a holistic determination of the complexity of the material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Level</th>
<th>Level of Text Complexity1</th>
<th>Range of Accuracy2</th>
<th>Quality of Evidence3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Very Complex, Moderately Complex, Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Mostly Accurate, Mostly Accurate, Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential, Explicit &amp; Inferential, Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Very Complex, Moderately Complex, Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Generally Accurate, Generally Accurate, Mostly Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential, Explicit &amp; Inferential, Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Very Complex, Moderately Complex, Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Minimally Accurate, Generally accurate, Mostly Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential, Explicit &amp; Inferential, Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Very Complex, Moderately Complex, Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Inaccurate, Minimally accurate, Partially accurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential, Explicit &amp; Inferential, Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Range of Accuracy

There are three types of items on the PARCC summative assessments. For Evidence-Based Selected Response (EBSR) and Technology-Enhanced Constructed Response (TECR) items, the design is such that the items help contribute to an understanding of how accurately students comprehend text (demonstrate mastery of CCSS Reading Standards 2-10). Some of these items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the range of accuracy. For Prose-Constructed Response (PCR) items, PARCC has developed draft scoring rubrics (http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/text-specifications-documents) that include a Reading dimension to measure comprehension. Scores on the PCR items contribute to an evaluation of the degree to which a student can accurately comprehend a text.

The PARCC assessment Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe five levels of accuracy at grades 3-8 that are determined using the reading data collected through EBSR, TECR, and PCR items:

**Accurate** – The student is able to accurately state both the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details. The response is complete, and the student demonstrates full understanding.

**Mostly accurate** – The student is able to accurately state most of the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details, but the response is incomplete or contains minor inaccuracies. The student demonstrates understanding.

**Generally accurate** – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but fails to accurately state the key and supporting details in the text or to connect such details to the overarching meaning of the text(s). The student demonstrates basic understanding.

**Partially accurate** – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is unable to state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student is partially able to connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates partial understanding.

**Minimally accurate** – The student is unable to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is able to minimally state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student does not connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates minimal understanding.

**Inaccurate** – The student is unable to accurately state either the gist of the text or the key and supporting details evident in the text. The student demonstrates limited understanding.

3. Quality of Evidence

All items are designed to contribute to an understanding of how students “read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences from it” and “cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text” (CCSS Anchor Reading Standard 1). Some items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the quality of evidence provided. Students support their comprehension with explicit and/or inferential evidence:

**Explicit evidence** – Students show how the explicit words and phrases (details) from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.

**Inferential evidence** – Students show how inferences drawn from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reading Sub-Claims</th>
<th>Reading Literature</th>
<th>Reading Information</th>
<th>Vocabulary Interpretation and Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex literary text.</td>
<td>Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex informational text.</td>
<td>Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EVIDENCES:** Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 5 exceeds expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 4 meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 3 approaches expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 2 partially meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In reading,** the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- With **very complex text,** students demonstrate the ability to do **mostly accurate** analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With **moderately complex text,** students demonstrate the ability to do **mostly accurate** analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With **readily accessible text,** students demonstrate the ability to do **accurate** analyses of the text, showing full understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

**See Literary Evidence Table**
http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents

**See Informational Evidence Table**
http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents

**See Vocabulary Evidence Table**
http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents
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### Writing Sub-Claim for Written Expression: Students produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.

**EVIDENCES:** Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In writing,** students address the prompts and provide **effective** development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating **effective** coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion.

**The student:**
- Provides effective development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using clear reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.
- Demonstrates coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction, conclusion, and a logical progression of ideas.
- Establishes and maintains an effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline.
- Effectively draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.
- Includes precise language including descriptive words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, words to indicate tone, and/or specific vocabulary.

| In writing, students address the prompts and provide development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion. | In writing, students address the prompts and provide development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while **generally** demonstrating **basic** coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion. | In writing, students address the prompts and provide development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating **minimal** coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion. |

**The student:**
- Provides development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is mostly appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.
- Demonstrates general coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction, conclusion, and logically grouped ideas.
- Establishes and maintains a mostly effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline.
- Draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.
- Includes mostly precise language, including descriptive words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, words to indicate tone, and/or specific vocabulary.

**In writing,** students address the prompts and provide **basic** development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating **basic coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion.**

**The student:**
- Provides some development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using basic reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is somewhat appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.
- Demonstrates some coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, making the writer’s progression of ideas somewhat unclear.
- Employs a style that is generally effective, with basic awareness of the norms of the discipline.
- Draws some evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.
- Includes some descriptions, sensory details, linking or transitional words, words to indicate tone, or domain-specific vocabulary.

**In writing,** students address the prompts and provide **minimal** development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating **minimal coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion.**

**The student:**
- Provides minimal development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using minimal reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Demonstrates minimal coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, making the writer’s progression of ideas unclear.
- Employs a minimally effective style, and minimal awareness of the norms of the discipline.
- Draws minimal evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.
- Includes minimal descriptions, sensory details,
Writing Sub-Claim for Knowledge of Language and Conventions: Students demonstrate knowledge of conventions and other important elements of language.

EVIDENCES: Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

See Writing Evidence Table [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In **writing**, students demonstrate full command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There may be some errors in grammar and usage, but overall meaning is clear.

In **writing**, students demonstrate command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are errors in grammar and usage that may occasionally impede understanding.

In **writing**, students demonstrate basic command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are few patterns of errors in grammar and usage that impede understanding, demonstrating partial control over language.

In **writing**, students demonstrate minimal command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are patterns of errors in grammar and usage that impede understanding, demonstrating minimal control over language.
1. Text Complexity

The PARCC complexity framework reflects the importance of text complexity as it relates to the CCSS, which indicates that 50 percent of an item’s complexity is linked to the complexity of the text(s) used as the stimulus for that item. Consequently, to determine students’ performance levels, it is critical to identify the pattern of responses when students respond to items linked to passages with distinct text complexities. To this end, PARCC has developed a clear and consistent model to define text complexity and has determined to use three text complexity levels: readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex. For more information on text complexity, refer to the CCSS Appendix A (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy) and Appendix B (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy).

PARCC uses two components for determining text complexity for all passages:

a. Two quantitative text complexity measures (Reading Maturity Metric and Lexile) will be used to analyze all reading passages to determine an initial recommendation for placement of a text into a grade band and subsequently a grade level.

b. Text Analysis Worksheets (http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents), one for informational text and one for literary text, are then used to determine qualitative measures. Trained evaluators use these worksheets to determine a recommendation for qualitative text complexity within the grade level, with each text defined as readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

For multimedia texts, qualitative judgments from one or both of the “optional” categories in the Complexity Analysis Worksheet will be combined with judgments in the other categories to make a holistic determination of the complexity of the material.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Level</th>
<th>Level of Text Complexity¹</th>
<th>Range of Accuracy²</th>
<th>Quality of Evidence³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Mostly Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Mostly Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Generally Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Generally Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Mostly Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Minimally Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Generally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Mostly Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Minimally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Partially accurate</td>
<td>Explicit &amp; Inferential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Range of Accuracy

There are three types of items on the PARCC summative assessments. For Evidence-Based Selected Response (EBSR) and Technology-Enhanced Constructed Response (TECR) items, the design is such that the items help contribute to an understanding of how accurately students comprehend text (demonstrate mastery of CCSS Reading Standards 2-10). Some of these items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the range of accuracy. For Prose-Constructed Response (PCR) items, PARCC has developed draft scoring rubrics (http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents) that include a Reading dimension to measure comprehension. Scores on the PCR items contribute to an evaluation of the degree to which a student can accurately comprehend a text.

The PARCC assessment Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe five levels of accuracy at grades 3-8 that are determined using the reading data collected through EBSR, TECR, and PCR items:

**Accurate** – The student is able to accurately state both the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details. The response is complete, and the student demonstrates full understanding.

**Mostly accurate** – The student is able to accurately state most of the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details, but the response is incomplete or contains minor inaccuracies. The student demonstrates understanding.

**Generally accurate** – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but fails to accurately state the key and supporting details in the text or to connect such details to the overarching meaning of the text(s). The student demonstrates basic understanding.

**Partially accurate** – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is unable to state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student is partially able to connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates partial understanding.

**Minimally accurate** – The student is unable to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is able to minimally state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student does not connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates minimal understanding.

**Inaccurate** – The student is unable to accurately state either the gist of the text or the key and supporting details evident in the text. The student demonstrates limited understanding.

3. Quality of Evidence

All items are designed to contribute to an understanding of how students “read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences from it” and “cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text” (CCSS Anchor Reading Standard 1). Some items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the quality of evidence provided. Students support their comprehension with explicit and/or inferential evidence:

**Explicit evidence** – Students show how the explicit words and phrases (details) from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.

**Inferential evidence** – Students show how inferences drawn from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.
### Reading Sub-Claims

**Reading Literature**
Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex literary text.

**Reading Information**
Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex informational text.

**Vocabulary Interpretation and Use**
Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.

**EVIDENCES:** Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

See Literary Evidence Table

See Informational Evidence Table

See Vocabulary Evidence Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5</strong> exceeds expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4</strong> meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3</strong> approaches expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2</strong> partially meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In reading,** the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- **With very complex text,** students demonstrate the ability to do **mostly accurate** analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- **With moderately complex text,** students demonstrate the ability to do **most accurate** analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- **With readily accessible text,** students demonstrate the ability to do **accurate** analyses of the text, showing **full** understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

**In reading,** the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- **With very complex text,** students demonstrate the ability to do **generally accurate** analyses of the text, showing **general** understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- **With moderately complex text,** students demonstrate the ability to do **generally accurate** analyses of the text, showing **general** understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- **With readily accessible text,** students demonstrate the ability to do **accurate** analyses of the text, showing **full** understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

**In reading,** the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- **With very complex text,** students demonstrate the ability to do **minimally accurate** analyses of the text, showing **minimal** understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- **With moderately complex text,** students demonstrate the ability to do **minimally accurate** analyses of the text, showing **minimal** understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- **With readily accessible text,** students demonstrate the ability to do **mostly accurate** analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

**In reading,** the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- **With very complex text,** students demonstrate the inability to do an **accurate** analysis of the text, showing **limited** understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- **With moderately complex text,** students demonstrate the ability to do **generally accurate** analyses of the text, showing **general** understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- **With readily accessible text,** students demonstrate the ability to do **accurate** analyses of the text, showing **full** understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
## Writing Sub-Claim for Written Expression: Students produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.

**EVIDENCES: Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.**

See Writing Evidence Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 5 <strong>exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 4 <strong>meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 3 <strong>approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 2 <strong>partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In writing,** students address the prompts and provide **effective** development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating **effective** coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion.

**The student:**
- Provides effective development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using clear reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.
- Demonstrates coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction, conclusion, and a logical progression of ideas.
- Establishes and maintains an effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline.
- Effectively draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.
- Includes precise language including descriptive words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, words to indicate tone, or domain-specific vocabulary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 5 <strong>exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 4 <strong>meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 3 <strong>approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 2 <strong>partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In writing,** students address the prompts and provide **minimal** development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while generally demonstrating **basic** coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion.

**The student:**
- Provides some development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using basic reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is somewhat appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.
- Demonstrates some coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, making the writer's progression of ideas somewhat unclear.
- Employs a style that is generally effective, with basic awareness of the norms of the discipline.
- Draws some evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.
- Includes some descriptions, sensory details, linking or transitional words, words to indicate tone, or domain-specific vocabulary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 5 <strong>exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 4 <strong>meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 3 <strong>approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 2 <strong>partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In writing,** students address the prompts and provide **basic** development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating **basic** coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion.

**The student:**
- Provides minimal development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using minimal reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Provides minimal development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements that is minimally appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.
- Demonstrates minimal coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, making the writer's progression of ideas unclear.
- Employs a minimally effective style, and minimal awareness of the norms of the discipline.
- Draws minimal evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.
- Includes minimal descriptions, sensory details,
## Writing Sub-Claim for Knowledge of Language and Conventions

Students demonstrate knowledge of conventions and other important elements of language. 

| EVIDENCES: Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level. | See Writing Evidence Table | http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 5 exceeds expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 4 meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 3 approaches expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 2 partially meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In writing, students demonstrate full command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There may be some errors in grammar and usage, but overall meaning is clear.

In writing, students demonstrate command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are errors in grammar and usage that may occasionally impede understanding.

In writing, students demonstrate basic command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are few patterns of errors in grammar and usage that impede understanding, demonstrating partial control over language.

In writing, students demonstrate minimal command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are patterns of errors in grammar and usage that impede understanding, demonstrating minimal control over language.
1. Text Complexity

The PARCC complexity framework reflects the importance of text complexity as it relates to the CCSS, which indicates that 50 percent of an item’s complexity is linked to the complexity of the text(s) used as the stimulus for that item. Consequently, to determine students’ performance levels, it is critical to identify the pattern of responses when students respond to items linked to passages with distinct text complexities. To this end, PARCC has developed a clear and consistent model to define text complexity and has determined to use three text complexity levels: readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex. For more information on text complexity, refer to the CCSS Appendix A (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy) and Appendix B (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy).

PARCC uses two components for determining text complexity for all passages:

a. Two quantitative text complexity measures (Reading Maturity Metric and Lexile) will be used to analyze all reading passages to determine an initial recommendation for placement of a text into a grade band and subsequently a grade level.

b. Text Analysis Worksheets (http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents), one for informational text and one for literary text, are then used to determine qualitative measures. Trained evaluators use these worksheets to determine a recommendation for qualitative text complexity within the grade level, with each text defined as readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

For multimedia texts, qualitative judgments from one or both of the “optional” categories in the Complexity Analysis Worksheet will be combined with judgments in the other categories to make a holistic determination of the complexity of the material.
2. Range of Accuracy

There are three types of items on the PARCC summative assessments. For Evidence-Based Selected Response (EBSR) and Technology-Enhanced Constructed Response (TECR) items, the design is such that the items help contribute to an understanding of how accurately students comprehend text (demonstrate mastery of CCSS Reading Standards 2-10). Some of these items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the range of accuracy. For Prose-Constructed Response (PCR) items, PARCC has developed draft scoring rubrics (http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents) that include a Reading dimension to measure comprehension. Scores on the PCR items contribute to an evaluation of the degree to which a student can accurately comprehend a text.

The PARCC assessment Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe five levels of accuracy at grades 3-8 that are determined using the reading data collected through EBSR, TECR, and PCR items:

- **Accurate** – The student is able to accurately state both the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details. The response is complete, and the student demonstrates full understanding.
- **Mostly accurate** – The student is able to accurately state most of the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details, but the response is incomplete or contains minor inaccuracies. The student demonstrates understanding.
- **Generally accurate** – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but fails to accurately state the key and supporting details in the text or to connect such details to the overarching meaning of the text(s). The student demonstrates basic understanding.
- **Partially accurate** – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is unable to state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student is partially able to connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates partial understanding.
- **Minimally accurate** – The student is unable to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is able to minimally state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student does not connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates minimal understanding.
- **Inaccurate** – The student is unable to accurately state either the gist of the text or the key and supporting details evident in the text. The student demonstrates limited understanding.

3. Quality of Evidence

All items are designed to contribute to an understanding of how students “read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences from it” and “cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text” (CCSS Anchor Reading Standard 1). Some items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the quality of evidence provided. Students support their comprehension with explicit and/or inferential evidence:

- **Explicit evidence** – Students show how the explicit words and phrases (details) from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.
- **Inferential evidence** – Students show how inferences drawn from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.
### Grade 8 PARCC English Language Arts/Literacy Performance Level Descriptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reading Sub-Claims</th>
<th>Reading Literature</th>
<th>Reading Information</th>
<th>Vocabulary Interpretation and Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**EVIDENCES:** Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 5 exceeds expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 4 meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 3 approaches expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 2 partially meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- With very complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do mostly accurate analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do mostly accurate analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to do accurate analyses of the text, showing full understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- With very complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do generally accurate analyses of the text, showing general understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do generally accurate analyses of the text, showing general understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to do accurate analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- With very complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do minimally accurate analyses of the text, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do generally accurate analyses of the text, showing general understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to do mostly accurate analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- With very complex text, students demonstrate the inability to do an accurate analysis of the text, showing limited understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do minimally accurate analyses of the text, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to do accurately analyses of the text, showing partial understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
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### Writing Sub-Claim for Written Expression: Students produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.

#### EVIDENCES: Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

**Table: Performance Level Descriptors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 5 exceeds expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 4 meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 3 approaches expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 2 partially meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In writing, students address the prompts and provide effective development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating effective coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion.**

The student:
- Provides effective development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using clear reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.
- Demonstrates coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction, conclusion, and a logical progression of ideas.
- Establishes and maintains an effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline.
- Effectively draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.
- Includes precise language including descriptive words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, words to indicate tone, and/or specific vocabulary.

**In writing, students address the prompts and provide development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion.**

The student:
- Provides development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is mostly appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.
- Demonstrates general coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction, conclusion, and logically grouped ideas.
- Establishes and maintains a mostly effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline.
- Draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.
- Includes mostly precise language, including descriptive words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, words to indicate tone, and/or specific vocabulary.

**In writing, students address the prompts and provide basic development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while generally demonstrating basic coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion.**

The student:
- Provides some development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using basic reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is somewhat appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.
- Demonstrates some coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, making the writer's progression of ideas somewhat unclear.
- Employs a style that is generally effective, with basic awareness of the norms of the discipline.
- Draws some evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.
- Includes some descriptions, sensory details, linking or transitional words, words to indicate tone, or domain-specific vocabulary.

**In writing, students address the prompts and provide minimal development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating minimal coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion.**

The student:
- Provides minimal development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using minimal reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Provides minimal development of the claim, topic and/or narrative elements that is minimally appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.
- Demonstrates minimal coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, making the writer's progression of ideas unclear.
- Employs a minimally effective style, and minimal awareness of the norms of the discipline.
- Draws minimal evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.
- Includes minimal descriptions, sensory details,
**Writing Sub-Claim for Knowledge of Language and Conventions:** Students demonstrate knowledge of conventions and other important elements of language.

**EVIDENCES:** Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5</strong> <em>exceeds expectations</em> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4</strong> <em>meets expectations</em> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3</strong> <em>approaches expectations</em> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2</strong> <em>partially meets expectations</em> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In writing,</strong> students demonstrate <strong>full</strong> command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There may be <em>some errors</em> in grammar and usage, but overall meaning is clear.</td>
<td><strong>In writing,</strong> students demonstrate command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are <em>errors</em> in grammar and usage that may occasionally <em>impede</em> understanding.</td>
<td><strong>In writing,</strong> students demonstrate <strong>basic</strong> command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are <em>few patterns of errors</em> in grammar and usage that <em>impede</em> understanding, demonstrating <em>partial</em> control over language.</td>
<td><strong>In writing,</strong> students demonstrate <strong>minimal</strong> command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are <em>patterns of errors</em> in grammar and usage that <em>impede</em> understanding, demonstrating <em>minimal</em> control over language.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Writing Evidence Table [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)
1. Text Complexity

The PARCC complexity framework reflects the importance of text complexity as it relates to the CCSS, which indicates that 50 percent of an item’s complexity is linked to the complexity of the text(s) used as the stimulus for that item. Consequently, to determine students’ performance levels, it is critical to identify the pattern of responses when students respond to items linked to passages with distinct text complexities. To this end, PARCC has developed a clear and consistent model to define text complexity and has determined to use three text complexity levels: readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex. For more information on text complexity, refer to the CCSS Appendix A (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy) and Appendix B (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy).

PARCC uses two components for determining text complexity for all passages:

a. Two quantitative text complexity measures (Reading Maturity Metric and Lexile) will be used to analyze all reading passages to determine an initial recommendation for placement of a text into a grade band and subsequently a grade level.

b. Text Analysis Worksheets (http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents), one for informational text and one for literary text, are then used to determine qualitative measures. Trained evaluators use these worksheets to determine a recommendation for qualitative text complexity within the grade level, with each text defined as readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

For multimedia texts, qualitative judgments from one or both of the “optional” categories in the Complexity Analysis Worksheet will be combined with judgments in the other categories to make a holistic determination of the complexity of the material.

### Performance Level Descriptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Level</th>
<th>Level of Text Complexity</th>
<th>Range of Accuracy</th>
<th>Quality of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Mostly accurate, Mostly accurate, Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Generally accurate, Generally accurate, Mostly accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Minimally accurate, Minimally accurate, Generally Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Inaccurate, Minimally accurate, Minimally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Range of Accuracy

There are three types of items on the PARCC summative assessments. For Evidence-Based Selected Response (EBSR) and Technology-Enhanced Constructed Response (TECR) items, the design is such that the items help contribute to an understanding of how accurately students comprehend text (demonstrate mastery of CCSS Reading Standards 2-10). Some of these items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the range of accuracy. For Prose-Constructed Response (PCR) items, PARCC has developed draft scoring rubrics (http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents) that include a Reading dimension to measure comprehension. Scores on the PCR items contribute to an evaluation of the degree to which a student can accurately comprehend a text.

The PARCC assessment Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe five levels of accuracy at grades 9-11 that are determined using the reading data collected through EBSR, TECR, and PCR items:

**Accurate** – The student is able to accurately state both the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details. The response is complete, and the student demonstrates full understanding.

**Mostly accurate** – The student is able to accurately state most of the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details, but the response is incomplete or contains minor inaccuracies. The student demonstrates understanding.

**Generally accurate** – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but fails to accurately state the key and supporting details in the text or to connect such details to the overarching meaning of the text(s). The student demonstrates basic understanding.

**Minimally accurate** – The student is unable to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is able to minimally state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student does not connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates minimal understanding.

**Inaccurate** – The student is unable to accurately state either the gist of the text or the key and supporting details evident in the text. The student demonstrates limited understanding.

3. Quality of Evidence

All items are designed to contribute to an understanding of how students “read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences from it” and “cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text” (CCSS Anchor Reading Standard 1). Some items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the quality of evidence provided. Students support their comprehension with explicit and/or inferential evidence:

**Explicit evidence** – Students show how the explicit words and phrases (details) from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.

**Inferential evidence** – Students show how inferences drawn from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.
## Reading Sub-Claims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reading Literature</th>
<th>Reading Information</th>
<th>Vocabulary Interpretation and Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EVIDENCES:</td>
<td>Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex literary text.</td>
<td>Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex informational text.</td>
<td>Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Level 5

A student who achieves at **Level 5 exceeds expectations** for the assessed standards.

In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- **With very complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to do mostly accurate analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- **With moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to do mostly accurate analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- **With readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to do accurate analyses of the text, showing full understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

### Level 4

A student who achieves at **Level 4 meets expectations** for the assessed standards.

In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- **With very complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to do generally accurate analyses of the text, showing basic understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- **With moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to do generally accurate analyses of the text, showing basic understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- **With readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to do mostly accurate analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

### Level 3

A student who achieves at **Level 3 approaches expectations** for the assessed standards.

In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- **With very complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to do minimally accurate analysis of the text, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- **With moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to do minimally accurate analyses of the text, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- **With readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to do generally accurate analyses of the text, showing partial understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

### Level 2

A student who achieves at **Level 2 partially meets expectations** for the assessed standards.

In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- **With very complex text**, students demonstrate the inability to do an accurate analysis of the text, showing limited understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- **With moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to do minimally accurate analysis of the text, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- **With readily accessible text**, students demonstrate the ability to do minimally accurate analyses of the text, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.

---
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## Writing Sub-Claim for Written Expression: Students produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.

| EVIDENCES: Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level. | See Writing Evidence Table | http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</th>
<th>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</th>
<th>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</th>
<th>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 5</strong>: The student:</td>
<td><strong>Level 4</strong>: The student:</td>
<td><strong>Level 3</strong>: The student:</td>
<td><strong>Level 2</strong>: The student:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Provides <strong>effective</strong> development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using <strong>clear</strong> reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.</td>
<td>- Provides <strong>development</strong> of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.</td>
<td>- Provides <strong>partial</strong> development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using <strong>some</strong> reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.</td>
<td>- Provides <strong>minimal</strong> development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using <strong>limited</strong> reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.</td>
<td>- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is generally appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.</td>
<td>- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is limited in its appropriateness to the task, purpose, and audience.</td>
<td>- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is inappropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Demonstrates coherence, clarity, and cohesion, and includes an introduction, conclusion, and a logical progression of ideas.</td>
<td>- Demonstrates some coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction, conclusion, and logically grouped ideas.</td>
<td>- Demonstrates partial coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, and includes some evidence of an introduction, conclusion, and logically grouped ideas.</td>
<td>- Demonstrates limited coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, making the writer’s progression of ideas somewhat unclear.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Establishes and maintains an effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline.</td>
<td>- Establishes and maintains a mostly effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline.</td>
<td>- Establishes and maintains a somewhat effective style, with some awareness of the norms of the discipline.</td>
<td>- Establishes a style that is partially effective, with limited awareness of the norms of the discipline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Effectively draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
<td>- Draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
<td>- Draws partial evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
<td>- Draws minimal evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Writing Sub-Claim for Written Expression:** Students produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.

**A student who achieves at Level 5 exceeds expectations** for the assessed standards.

The student:
- Provides effective development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using clear reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.
- Demonstrates coherence, clarity, and cohesion, and includes an introduction, conclusion, and a logical progression of ideas.
- Establishes and maintains an effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline.
- Effectively draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.

**A student who achieves at Level 4 meets expectations** for the assessed standards.

The student:
- Provides development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is generally appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.
- Demonstrates some coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction, conclusion, and logically grouped ideas.
- Establishes and maintains a mostly effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline.
- Draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.

**A student who achieves at Level 3 approaches expectations** for the assessed standards.

The student:
- Provides partial development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using some reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is limited in its appropriateness to the task, purpose, and audience.
- Demonstrates partial coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, and includes some evidence of an introduction, conclusion, and logically grouped ideas.
- Establishes and maintains a somewhat effective style, with some awareness of the norms of the discipline.
- Draws partial evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.

**A student who achieves at Level 2 partially meets expectations** for the assessed standards.

The student:
- Provides minimal development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using limited reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.
- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is inappropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.
- Demonstrates limited coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, making the writer’s progression of ideas somewhat unclear.
- Establishes a style that has limited effectiveness, with limited awareness of the norms of the discipline.
- Draws minimal evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.
# Grade 9 PARCC English Language Arts/Literacy Performance Level Descriptors

## Writing Sub-Claim for Written Expression (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The student:</strong></td>
<td><strong>The student:</strong></td>
<td><strong>The student:</strong></td>
<td><strong>The student:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Includes precise language, including descriptive words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, words to indicate tone, and/or domain-specific vocabulary.</td>
<td>• Includes mostly precise language, including descriptive words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, words to indicate tone, and/or domain-specific vocabulary.</td>
<td>• Includes some description, sensory details, linking or transitional words, words to indicate tone, or domain-specific vocabulary.</td>
<td>• Includes limited description, sensory details, linking or transitional words, words to indicate tone, or domain-specific vocabulary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Writing Sub-Claim for Knowledge of Language and Conventions:

Students demonstrate knowledge of conventions and other important elements of language.

**EVIDENCES:** Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

See Writing Evidence Table [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In <strong>writing</strong>, the student demonstrates command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There may be some errors in grammar and usage that do not impede understanding, demonstrating control over language.</td>
<td>In <strong>writing</strong>, the student demonstrates <strong>moderate command</strong> of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are a few patterns of errors in grammar and usage that may occasionally impede understanding, demonstrating adequate control over language.</td>
<td>In <strong>writing</strong>, the student demonstrates <strong>partial command</strong> of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are patterns of errors in grammar and usage that impede understanding, demonstrating partial control over language.</td>
<td>In <strong>writing</strong>, student demonstrates <strong>limited command</strong> of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are multiple patterns of errors in grammar and usage that frequently impede understanding, demonstrating <strong>minimal</strong> control over language.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Text Complexity

The PARCC complexity framework reflects the importance of text complexity as it relates to the CCSS, which indicates that 50 percent of an item’s complexity is linked to the complexity of the text(s) used as the stimulus for that item. Consequently, to determine students’ performance levels, it is critical to identify the pattern of responses when students respond to items linked to passages with distinct text complexities. To this end, PARCC has developed a clear and consistent model to define text complexity and has determined to use three text complexity levels: readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex. For more information on text complexity, refer to the CCSS Appendix A [http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy] and Appendix B [http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy].

PARCC uses two components for determining text complexity for all passages:

a. Two quantitative text complexity measures (Reading Maturity Metric and Lexile) will be used to analyze all reading passages to determine an initial recommendation for placement of a text into a grade band and subsequently a grade level.

b. Text Analysis Worksheets [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents], one for informational text and one for literary text, are then used to determine qualitative measures. Trained evaluators use these worksheets to determine a recommendation for qualitative text complexity within the grade level, with each text defined as readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

For multimedia texts, qualitative judgments from one or both of the “optional” categories in the Complexity Analysis Worksheet will be combined with judgments in the other categories to make a holistic determination of the complexity of the material.

### Performance Level Descriptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Level</th>
<th>Level of Text Complexity</th>
<th>Range of Accuracy</th>
<th>Quality of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Mostly accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Mostly accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Generally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Generally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Mostly accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Minimally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Minimally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Generally Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Minimally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Minimally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Range of Accuracy

There are three types of items on the PARCC summative assessments. For Evidence-Based Selected Response (EBSR) and Technology-Enhanced Constructed Response (TECR) items, the design is such that the items help contribute to an understanding of how accurately students comprehend text (demonstrate mastery of CCSS Reading Standards 2-10). Some of these items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the range of accuracy. For Prose-Constructed Response (PCR) items, PARCC has developed draft scoring rubrics (http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents) that include a Reading dimension to measure comprehension. Scores on the PCR items contribute to an evaluation of the degree to which a student can accurately comprehend a text.

The PARCC assessment Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe five levels of accuracy at grades 9-11 that are determined using the reading data collected through EBSR, TECR, and PCR items:

**Accurate** – The student is able to accurately state both the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details. The response is complete, and the student demonstrates full understanding.

**Mostly accurate** – The student is able to accurately state most of the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details, but the response is incomplete or contains minor inaccuracies. The student demonstrates understanding.

**Generally accurate** – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but fails to accurately state the key and supporting details in the text or to connect such details to the overarching meaning of the text(s). The student demonstrates basic understanding.

**Minimally accurate** – The student is unable to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is able to minimally state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student does not connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates minimal understanding.

**Inaccurate** – The student is unable to accurately state either the gist of the text or the key and supporting details evident in the text. The student demonstrates limited understanding.

3. Quality of Evidence

All items are designed to contribute to an understanding of how students “read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences from it” and “cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text” (CCSS Anchor Reading Standard 1). Some items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the quality of evidence provided. Students support their comprehension with explicit and/or inferential evidence:

**Explicit evidence** – Students show how the explicit words and phrases (details) from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.

**Inferential evidence** – Students show how inferences drawn from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.
### Grade 10 PARCC English Language Arts/Literacy Performance Level Descriptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reading Sub-Claims</th>
<th>Reading Literature</th>
<th>Reading Information</th>
<th>Vocabulary Interpretation and Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex literary text.</td>
<td>Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex informational text.</td>
<td>Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EVIDENCES:** Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 5 exceeds expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 4 meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 3 approaches expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at Level 2 partially meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- With very complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do mostly accurate analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do mostly accurate analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to do accurate analyses of the text, showing full understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- With very complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do generally accurate analyses of the text, showing basic understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do generally accurate analyses of the text, showing basic understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to do generally accurate analyses of the text, showing full understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- With very complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do minimally accurate analysis of the text, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do minimally accurate analyses of the text, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to do minimally accurate analyses of the text, showing partial understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:
- With very complex text, students demonstrate the inability to do an accurate analysis of the text, showing limited understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to do minimally accurate analysis of the text, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text.
- With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to do minimally accurate analyses of the text, showing partial understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
### Grade 10 PARCC English Language Arts/Literacy Performance Level Descriptors

**Writing Sub-Claim for Written Expression:** Students produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.

**EVIDENCES:** Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In <strong>writing</strong>, students address the prompts and provide <strong>effective</strong> development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating <strong>effective</strong> coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion.</td>
<td>The student:</td>
<td>The student:</td>
<td><strong>The student:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The student:</td>
<td>- Provides <strong>effective</strong> development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using <strong>clear</strong> reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.</td>
<td>- Provides development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.</td>
<td>- Provides <strong>minimal</strong> development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using <strong>limited</strong> reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is <strong>appropriate</strong> to the task, purpose, and audience.</td>
<td>- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is <strong>generally</strong> appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.</td>
<td>- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is <strong>limited</strong> in its appropriateness to the task, purpose, and audience.</td>
<td>- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is <strong>limited</strong> in its appropriateness to the task, purpose, and audience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Demonstrates coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction, conclusion, and a logical progression of ideas.</td>
<td>- Demonstrates some coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction, conclusion, and logically grouped ideas.</td>
<td>- Demonstrates partial coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, and includes some evidence of an introduction, conclusion, and logically grouped ideas.</td>
<td>- Demonstrates <strong>limited</strong> coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, making the writer’s progression of ideas somewhat unclear.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Establishes and maintains an effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline.</td>
<td>- Establishes and maintains a mostly effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline.</td>
<td>- Employs a style that is <strong>partially effective</strong>, with some awareness of the norms of the discipline.</td>
<td>- Employs a style that has <strong>limited effectiveness</strong>, with limited awareness of the norms of the discipline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Effectively draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
<td>- Draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
<td>- Draws <strong>partial</strong> evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
<td>- Draws <strong>minimal</strong> evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Writing Evidence Table [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)
Writing Sub-Claim for Written Expression (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The student:
- Includes precise language, including descriptive words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, words to indicate tone, and/or domain-specific vocabulary.

The student:
- Includes mostly precise language, including descriptive words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, words to indicate tone, and/or domain-specific vocabulary.

The student:
- Includes some description, sensory details, linking or transitional words, words to indicate tone, or domain-specific vocabulary.

The student:
- Includes limited description, sensory details, linking or transitional words, words to indicate tone, or domain-specific vocabulary.
### Writing Sub-Claim for Knowledge of Language and Conventions:

Students demonstrate knowledge of conventions and other important elements of language.

**EVIDENCES:** Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

See Writing Evidence Table [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In writing,** the student demonstrates command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There may be some errors in grammar and usage that do not impede understanding, demonstrating control over language.

**In writing,** the student demonstrates moderate command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are a few patterns of errors in grammar and usage that may occasionally impede understanding, demonstrating adequate control over language.

**In writing,** the student demonstrates partial command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are patterns of errors in grammar and usage that impede understanding, demonstrating partial control over language.

**In writing,** student demonstrates limited command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are multiple patterns of errors in grammar and usage that frequently impede understanding, demonstrating minimal control over language.
1. Text Complexity

The PARCC complexity framework reflects the importance of text complexity as it relates to the CCSS, which indicates that 50 percent of an item’s complexity is linked to the complexity of the text(s) used as the stimulus for that item. Consequently, to determine students’ performance levels, it is critical to identify the pattern of responses when students respond to items linked to passages with distinct text complexities. To this end, PARCC has developed a clear and consistent model to define text complexity and has determined to use three text complexity levels: readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex. For more information on text complexity, refer to the CCSS Appendix A (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy) and Appendix B (http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy).

PARCC uses two components for determining text complexity for all passages:

a. Two quantitative text complexity measures (Reading Maturity Metric and Lexile) will be used to analyze all reading passages to determine an initial recommendation for placement of a text into a grade band and subsequently a grade level.

b. Text Analysis Worksheets (http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents), one for informational text and one for literary text, are then used to determine qualitative measures. Trained evaluators use these worksheets to determine a recommendation for qualitative text complexity within the grade level, with each text defined as readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

For multimedia texts, qualitative judgments from one or both of the “optional” categories in the Complexity Analysis Worksheet will be combined with judgments in the other categories to make a holistic determination of the complexity of the material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Level</th>
<th>Level of Text Complexity1</th>
<th>Range of Accuracy2</th>
<th>Quality of Evidence3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Mostly accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Mostly accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Generally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Generally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Mostly accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Minimally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Minimally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Generally Accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Very Complex</td>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately Complex</td>
<td>Minimally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily Accessible</td>
<td>Minimally accurate</td>
<td>Explicit and inferential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Range of Accuracy

There are three types of items on the PARCC summative assessments. For Evidence-Based Selected Response (EBSR) and Technology-Enhanced Constructed Response (TECR) items, the design is such that the items help contribute to an understanding of how accurately students comprehend text (demonstrate mastery of CCSS Reading Standards 2-10). Some of these items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the range of accuracy. For Prose- Constructed Response (PCR) items, PARCC has developed draft scoring rubrics (http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents) that include a Reading dimension to measure comprehension. Scores on the PCR items contribute to an evaluation of the degree to which a student can accurately comprehend a text.

The PARCC assessment Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe five levels of accuracy at grades 9-11 that are determined using the reading data collected through EBSR, TECR, and PCR items:

**Accurate** – The student is able to accurately state both the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details. The response is complete, and the student demonstrates full understanding.

**Mostly accurate** – The student is able to accurately state most of the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details, but the response is incomplete or contains minor inaccuracies. The student demonstrates understanding.

**Generally accurate** – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but fails to accurately state the key and supporting details in the text or to connect such details to the overarching meaning of the text(s). The student demonstrates basic understanding.

**Minimally accurate** – The student is unable to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is able to minimally state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student does not connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates minimal understanding.

**Inaccurate** – The student is unable to accurately state either the gist of the text or the key and supporting details evident in the text. The student demonstrates limited understanding.

3. Quality of Evidence

All items are designed to contribute to an understanding of how students “read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences from it” and “cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text” (CCSS Anchor Reading Standard 1). Some items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the quality of evidence provided. Students support their comprehension with explicit and/or inferential evidence:

**Explicit evidence** – Students show how the explicit words and phrases (details) from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.

**Inferential evidence** – Students show how inferences drawn from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.
## Reading Sub-Claims

Students demonstrate comprehension and draw evidence from readings of grade-level, complex literary text.

### EVIDENCES:

Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reading Literature

In **reading**, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates:

- With **very complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to do **mostly accurate** analyses of the text, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.
- With **moderately complex text**, students demonstrate the ability to do **accurate** analyses of the text, showing **full** understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when supporting sound inferences drawn from the text.

### Reading Information

See **Literary Evidence Table**

http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents

See **Informational Evidence Table**

http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents

### Vocabulary Interpretation and Use

See **Vocabulary Evidence Table**

http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents

Students use context to determine the meaning of words and phrases.
### Grade 11 PARCC English Language Arts/Literacy Performance Level Descriptors

**Writing Sub-Claim for Written Expression:** Students produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.

**EVIDENCES:** Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

See Writing Evidence Table [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5</strong> exceeds expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4</strong> meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3</strong> approaches expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2</strong> partially meets expectations for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In writing,</strong> students address the prompts and provide effective development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating effective coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion. The student:</td>
<td><strong>In writing,</strong> students address the prompts and provide adequate development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion. The student:</td>
<td><strong>In writing,</strong> students address the prompts and provide partial development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating partial coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion. The student:</td>
<td><strong>In writing,</strong> students address the prompts and provide minimal development of ideas, including when drawing evidence from multiple sources, while demonstrating limited coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion. The student:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Provides effective development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using clear reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.</td>
<td>- Provides development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.</td>
<td>- Provides partial development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using some reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.</td>
<td>- Provides minimal development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using limited reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.</td>
<td>- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is generally appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.</td>
<td>- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is limited in its appropriateness to the task, purpose, and audience.</td>
<td>- Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is inappropriate to the task, purpose, and audience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Demonstrates coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction, conclusion, and a logical progression of ideas.</td>
<td>- Demonstrates some coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction, conclusion, and logically grouped ideas.</td>
<td>- Demonstrates partial coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, and includes some evidence of an introduction, conclusion, and logically grouped ideas.</td>
<td>- Demonstrates limited coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion, making the writer’s progression of ideas somewhat unclear.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Establishes and maintains an effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline.</td>
<td>- Establishes and maintains a mostly effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline.</td>
<td>- Employs a style that is partially effective, with some awareness of the norms of the discipline.</td>
<td>- Employs a style that has limited effectiveness, with limited awareness of the norms of the discipline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Effectively draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
<td>- Draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
<td>- Draws partial evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
<td>- Draws minimal evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Writing Sub-Claim for Written Expression (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 5 exceeds expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 4 meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 3 approaches expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
<td>A student who achieves at <strong>Level 2 partially meets expectations</strong> for the assessed standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The student:</td>
<td>The student:</td>
<td>The student:</td>
<td>The student:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Includes <strong>precise</strong> language, including descriptive words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, words to indicate tone, and/or domain-specific vocabulary.</td>
<td>• Includes <strong>mostly precise</strong> language, including descriptive words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, words to indicate tone, and/or domain-specific vocabulary.</td>
<td>• Includes <strong>some</strong> description, sensory details, linking or transitional words, words to indicate tone, or domain-specific vocabulary.</td>
<td>• Includes <strong>limited</strong> description, sensory details, linking or transitional words, words to indicate tone, or domain-specific vocabulary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Writing Sub-Claim for Knowledge of Language and Conventions:** Students demonstrate knowledge of conventions and other important elements of language.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>A student who achieves at Level 5 exceeds expectations for the assessed standards.</strong></td>
<td><strong>A student who achieves at Level 4 meets expectations for the assessed standards.</strong></td>
<td><strong>A student who achieves at Level 3 approaches expectations for the assessed standards.</strong></td>
<td><strong>A student who achieves at Level 2 partially meets expectations for the assessed standards.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In <strong>writing</strong>, the student demonstrates command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There may be some errors in grammar and usage that do not impede understanding, demonstrating control over language.</td>
<td>In <strong>writing</strong>, the student demonstrates moderate command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are a few patterns of errors in grammar and usage that may occasionally impede understanding, demonstrating adequate control over language.</td>
<td>In <strong>writing</strong>, the student demonstrates partial command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are patterns of errors in grammar and usage that impede understanding, demonstrating partial control over language.</td>
<td>In <strong>writing</strong>, student demonstrates limited command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. There are multiple patterns of errors in grammar and usage that frequently impede understanding, demonstrating minimal control over language.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EVIDENCES:** Students are expected to produce responses that demonstrate the skills and content listed in the evidence tables at the accuracy level and with the quality of evidence as described for students at each level.

See Writing Evidence Table [http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents](http://www.parcconline.org/assessments/test-design/ela-literacy/test-specifications-documents)